

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

| Application of Southern California Edison Company (U338E) for Authority to Establish Its Authorized Cost of Capital for Utility Operations for 2020 and to Partially Reset the Annual Cost of Capital Adjustment Mechanism. | Application 19-04-014 |
|--|---|
| And Related Matters. | Application 19-04-015 Application 19-04-017 Application 19-04-018 |

E-MAIL RULING MODIFYING THE SCHEDULE OF THE PROCEEDING

Dated July 18, 2019, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ BRIAN R. STEVENS
Brian R. Stevens
Administrative Law Judge

309849711 - 1 -

A.19-04-014 et al. BRC/mi4

From: Stevens, Brian < Brian.Stevens@cpuc.ca.gov>

Sent: Thursday, July 18, 2019 5:00 PM

To: NReardon@SonomaCleanPower.org; NWhang@CleanPowerAlliance.org;

JPong@SempraUtilities.com; JJohnston@JonesDay.com; Claire.Torchia@sce.com;

Maguirre@amslawyers.com; Thomas.Bosworth@SDCounty.ca.gov;

Jane@ucan.org; RFulton@SempraUtilities.com;

JWiedman@PeninsulaCleanEnergy.com; Obiora, Noel

<noel.obiora@cpuc.ca.gov>; William.Sanders@SFCityAtty.org; marcel@turn.org;

EKahl@Buchalter.com; NSheriff@Buchalter.com; saw0@pge.com; beth@emk-

law.com; thomas@delmonteesq.com; April@WildTree.org;

Jamie@ProtectOurCommunities.org; tedmister@ebce.org;

Luisa.Elkins@SanJoseCa.gov; Stoops@BraunLegal.com; SMN@dwgp.com;

regulatory@ebce.org; kavya@newsdata.com; Kimberly.Chang@pge.com; Tesfai,

Leuwam < !euwam.tesfai@cpuc.ca.gov">: MRW@MRWassoc.com;

<u>Caroline.Bone@DB.com;</u> <u>Edwin@Newtyn.com;</u> <u>JCBeh@JonesDay.com;</u>

PTMetz@JonesDay.com; KC@dwgp.com; MRP@dwgp.com;

khojasteh.davoodi@navy.mil; makda.solomon@navy.mil;

kodonnell@novaenergyconsultants.com; Steve.Stubitz@Citadel.com;

CStephens@CleanPowerAlliance.org; MLanger@CleanPowerAlliance.org;

Conklin, Michael < Michael.Conklin@cpuc.ca.gov">Michael.Conklin@cpuc.ca.gov

RCavalleri@SempraUtilities.com; npedersen@hanmor.com;

 $\underline{HuntPT@outlook.com}; \underline{Douglass@EnergyAttorney.com};$

Andre.ramirez@sce.com; Case.Admin@sce.com; Laura.genao@sce.com;

MByrnes@amslawyers.com; MSeverson@amslawyers.com; Edward@ucan.org;

CLyons@SempraUtilities.com; JYork@SempraUtilities.com;

CentralFiles@SempraUtilities.com; skeehn@mbcommunitypower.org;

MRamirez@SFwater.org; Yip-Kikugawa, Amy C. <amy.yip-

<u>kikugawa@cpuc.ca.gov</u>>; Stevens, Brian <<u>Brian.Stevens@cpuc.ca.gov</u>>; Cheng,

Franz < franz.cheng@cpuc.ca.gov>; Ghadessi, Maryam

<maryam.ghadessi@cpuc.ca.gov>; Kjensli, Nika <nika.kjensli@cpuc.ca.gov>;

KMorsony@turn.org; bellis@buchalter.com; Charles.Middlekauff@pge.com;

 $\underline{MCade@Buchalter.com;}\ \underline{MColvin@edf.org;}\ \underline{Monica.Schwebs@morganlewis.com;}$

sjkb@pge.com; LRafii@Buchalter.com; AnnaFero@dwt.com;

KatieJorrie@dwt.com; TahiyaSultan@dwt.com; Tara.Kaushik@HKlaw.com;

VidhyaPrabhakaran@dwt.com; dwtCPUCdockets@dwt.com;

 $\underline{PatrickFerguson@dwt.com;}\ \underline{SteveGreenwald@dwt.com;}$

 $\underline{RegRelcpucCases@pge.com;}\ \underline{Kari.Smith@SanJoseCa.gov;}\ \underline{LRiegle@Navigant.com;}$

<u>Team@Cameron-Daniel.com</u>; Dunton, Drucilla "Dru"

<Drucilla.Dunton@cpuc.ca.gov>; Laura.McWilliams@sen.ca.gov;

LMP@dwgp.com; Blaising@BraunLegal.com; Regulatory@BraunLegal.com;

RL@eslawfirm.com; ABB@eslawfirm.com

Cc: ALJ_Support ID <ali_supportid@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Process

<ali process@cpuc.ca.gov>; ALJ Docket Office

<ALJ_Docket_Office@cpuc.ca.gov>

Subject: A.19-04-014, et al. E-MAIL RULING MODIFYING THE SCHEDULE OF THE PROCEEDING

Parties to Application 19-04-014 (et al.):

Following the request for clarification and joint request of the applicants, I am modifying the schedule of this proceeding.

| Activity | Deadline |
|---|---|
| Intervenor direct testimony and Applicants' supplemental testimony regarding the passage of Assembly Bill 1054 | August 1, 2019 |
| All party rebuttal testimony regarding the intervenor direct testimony and Intervenor direct testimony regarding only the applicants' supplemental testimony regarding the passage of Assembly Bill 1054 | August 16, 2019 |
| All party rebuttal testimony regarding only the applicants' supplemental testimony regarding the passage of Assembly Bill 1054 | August 21, 2019 |
| Deadline for motion requesting evidentiary hearing | No later than August 23, 2019 |
| Parties are required to meet and confer to develop a set of stipulated facts | Between August 21, 2019 and August 29, 2019 |
| Parties must jointly file and serve a list of stipulated facts | No later than August 29, 2019 |

All other elements of the schedule set in the scoping ruling remain the same, from the date set for evidentiary hearings through the conclusion of the proceeding. This ruling supersedes the modifications I made to the schedule in two prior rulings dated July 11, 2019 and July 15, 2019.

Intervenors will have the opportunity to serve direct testimony regarding the applicants' supplemental testimony regarding the passage of Assembly Bill 1054. Because this is a multiparty adjudication, all parties have the opportunity serve rebuttal testimony.

The purpose of the supplemental testimony regarding the passage of Assembly Bill 1054 continues cover how this new law fundamentally transforms the financial risks discussed in length in the Applicants' testimony and how this new law impacts all other issues scoped into this proceeding.

It is so ruled.

The Commission's Docket Office shall formally file this ruling.

Brian Stevens

Administrative Law Judge, California Public Utilities Commission brian.stevens@cpuc.ca.gov

From: Norin, Jenny <<u>INorin@semprautilities.com</u>>

Sent: Tuesday, July 16, 2019 12:18 PM

To: NReardon@SonomaCleanPower.org; NWhang@CleanPowerAlliance.org;

Pong, Johnny J. < ! Johnston@JonesDay.com;

Claire.Torchia@sce.com; Maguirre@amslawyers.com;

<u>Thomas.Bosworth@SDCounty.ca.gov</u>; <u>Jane@ucan.org</u>; Fulton, Ross

<RFulton@semprautilities.com>; JWiedman@PeninsulaCleanEnergy.com;

Obiora, Noel <noel.obiora@cpuc.ca.gov>; William.Sanders@SFCityAtty.org;

marcel@turn.org; EKahl@Buchalter.com; NSheriff@Buchalter.com;

 $\underline{saw0@pge.com;}\ \underline{beth@emk-law.com;}\ \underline{thomas@delmonteesq.com;}$

<u>April@WildTree.org</u>; <u>Jamie@ProtectOurCommunities.org</u>; <u>tedmister@ebce.org</u>;

Luisa.Elkins@SanJoseCa.gov; Stoops@BraunLegal.com; SMN@dwgp.com;

regulatory@ebce.org; kavya@newsdata.com; Kimberly.Chang@pge.com; Tesfai,

Leuwam < leuwam.tesfai@cpuc.ca.gov >; MRW@MRWassoc.com;

 $\underline{Caroline.Bone@DB.com;}\ \underline{Edwin@Newtyn.com;}\ \underline{JCBeh@JonesDay.com;}$

PTMetz@JonesDay.com; KC@dwgp.com; MRP@dwgp.com;

khojasteh.davoodi@navy.mil; makda.solomon@navy.mil;

kodonnell@novaenergyconsultants.com; Steve.Stubitz@Citadel.com;

CStephens@CleanPowerAlliance.org; MLanger@CleanPowerAlliance.org;

Conklin, Michael < Michael. Conklin@cpuc.ca.gov >; Arazi, Shirley

```
<<u>SArazi@semprautilities.com</u>>; Cavalleri, Ronald
```

<<u>RCavalleri@semprautilities.com</u>>; <u>npedersen@hanmor.com</u>;

HuntPT@outlook.com; Douglass@EnergyAttorney.com;

Andre.ramirez@sce.com; Case.Admin@sce.com; Laura.genao@sce.com;

MByrnes@amslawyers.com; MSeverson@amslawyers.com; Edward@ucan.org;

Lyons, Christopher M < CLyons@semprautilities.com >; York, Jamie K

<<u>JYork@semprautilities.com</u>>; Central Files <<u>CentralFiles@semprautilities.com</u>>;
skeehn@mbcommunitypower.org; MRamirez@SFwater.org; Yip-Kikugawa, Amy

C. <amy.yip-kikugawa@cpuc.ca.gov>; Stevens, Brian

<Brian.Stevens@cpuc.ca.gov>; Cheng, Franz <franz.cheng@cpuc.ca.gov>;

Ghadessi, Maryam <maryam.ghadessi@cpuc.ca.gov>; Kjensli, Nika

<<u>nika.kjensli@cpuc.ca.gov</u>>; <u>KMorsony@turn.org</u>; <u>bellis@buchalter.com</u>;

<u>Charles.Middlekauff@pge.com</u>; <u>MCade@Buchalter.com</u>; <u>MColvin@edf.org</u>;

Monica.Schwebs@morganlewis.com; sjkb@pge.com; LRafii@Buchalter.com;

AnnaFero@dwt.com; KatieJorrie@dwt.com; TahiyaSultan@dwt.com;

Tara.Kaushik@HKlaw.com; VidhyaPrabhakaran@dwt.com;

dwtCPUCdockets@dwt.com; PatrickFerguson@dwt.com;

SteveGreenwald@dwt.com; RegRelcpucCases@pge.com;

Kari.Smith@SanJoseCa.gov; LRiegle@Navigant.com; Team@Cameron-

Daniel.com; Dunton, Drucilla "Dru" < Drucilla.Dunton@cpuc.ca.gov >;

Laura.McWilliams@sen.ca.gov; LMP@dwgp.com; Blaising@BraunLegal.com;

Regulatory@BraunLegal.com; RL@eslawfirm.com; ABB@eslawfirm.com

Subject: A.19-04-014, et al. 2020 COC: Applicants' Joint Request for Clarification and/or Rebuttal Supplemental Testimony in Response to the July 15, 2019 Email

SENT ON BEHALF OF ROSS FULTON, ATTORNEY FOR SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

To: ALJ Stevens and Parties to A.19-04-014, A.19-04-015, A.19-04-017, A.19-04-018

Re: Request for Clarification and/or Rebuttal Supplemental Testimony in Response to the July 15, 2019 Email

Dear ALJ Stevens:

SDG&E, SCE, and PG&E ("Applicants") respectfully submit this joint request for clarification and/or rebuttal supplemental testimony in response to the July 15, 2019 email modifying the schedule. That email required the Applicants to serve supplemental testimony regarding Assembly Bill ("AB") 1054's impact on financial risks and other issues within the scope of this proceeding by August 1, 2019 ("Supplemental Testimony"). The email did not provide for any further modification of the schedule. The Applicants seek clarification as to whether the

intervenors will be allowed to file testimony responding to the Supplemental Testimony on August 16.

If the schedule modification was intended to provide intervenors the opportunity to submit testimony responding to the Applicants' Supplemental Testimony, then Applicants request the opportunity to provide rebuttal testimony in support of their Supplemental Testimony by **August 26, 2019**. The modified schedule would keep all other dates the same and would be as follows:

| Date | Testimony Due | |
|-----------------|--|--|
| August 1, 2019 | Intervenors' Testimony and Applicants' Supplemental Testimony | |
| August 16, 2019 | Applicants' Rebuttal Testimony in support of Direct Testimony and Intervenors' Testimony addressing Applicants' Supplemental Testimony | |
| August 26, 2019 | Applicants' Rebuttal Testimony in Support of Supplemental Testimony | |

As Applicants can offer rebuttal testimony in support of all their other direct testimony, Applicants believe that a similar opportunity to offer rebuttal testimony in support of their Supplemental Testimony should be provided, consistent with the Commission Rules of Practice and Procedure. Requiring any Applicant rebuttal testimony by August 26 will provide adequate time for intervenors to review prior to hearings. The request will not affect the remaining schedule or prevent a final decision by the end of the year.

Regards,

Ross R. Fulton Senior Counsel San Diego Gas & Electric Co. 8330 Century Park Court San Diego, CA 92123 rfulton@semprautilities.com